Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000406016605-3



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

further as coming -D-15.2/5
3 November 1967

Army Declass/Release Instructions On File Declassification/Release Instructions on File

The Army, regarded as a weapons system, consists of people, means for transport and communication, and firepower. To be effective the Army must know, in considerable detail, the nature of the terrain over which it operates. Topographic maps constitute the principal means of providing this knowledge to the field commander.

While maps are important in planning operations and to answer the questions "Where am I?", and "What is over the hill?", highly accurate maps are required primarily because of their importance as a component in the firepower system of the Army. It is the purpose of this memorandum to illustrate the role of maps in the artillery system and to assess the value that should be assigned to map accuracy.

During the past decade, a great deal of attention has been paid to improving the effectiveness of artillery shells to improving the reliability and accuracy of artillery systems, and to developing computers, communication gear, and meteorological measurement techniques which will permit accurate coordination of fires from dispersed artillery pieces. Thus, we should be able to bring upon targets, as they are identified, sufficient artillery fire to neutralize those targets efficiently. At the same time, much effort has been given to the development of target acquisition and surveillance means to permit detection of enemy movements and identification of useful targets as they develop in the course of the battle.

The utility of all of these developments depends critically on the availability of accurate maps. Without them, the sophistication of other elements of the overall weapons system becomes virtually useless, and the artilleryman is reduced to using his weapons as a means of locating the target with respect to the firing pieces. It was because of the lack of precision in maps, the lack of accurate, rapid, range finding equipment, and the lack of computer facilities to solve the ballistic problem in the field that the technique of "forward observer-controlled" fire was developed. This technique

Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000400010005-3

red.

Mapping Accuracy and Artillery Effectiveness (Cont'd)

demands the firing of a few "spotting rounds" into the target area under the eyes of a forward observer simply to determine the location of the target, so that multiple tube volleys may be delivered in what is called "time-on-target" fire.

The effectiveness of time-on-target fire against personnel depends critically on whether or not the enemy in the target area has warning. Calculations by the Ballistic Research Laboratories, based on experimental data for various target postures and various kinds of artillery shell, indicated that, in general, the vulnerability of a target may be reduced approximately ten (10) times if the target is warned of incoming fire and the people in the target area have time to seek shelter in foxholes. The system of using forward observers to control fire provides that warning of necessity. If the available maps are not sufficiently good to permit exploitation of the fire control system to permit precise delivery of effective first rounds against targets in known locations, then the ten-fold advantage of surprise is denied us.

Accurate maps also have a role in the control of artillery fires where surprise cannot be exploited. In many situations it is important to deliver artillery fire on enemy units close to our own troops. Under such circumstances, friendly units under attack will call for artillery fire to be delivered as close to their positions as possible, even to the extent of risking friendly casualties to assure effectiveness against the attacking enemy. The closeness with which such fires can be called down depends not only on the accuracy of the artillery system, but also on the accuracy with which the relative positions of the artillery piece and the friendly unit can be determined. In any case, a few tens of meters are significant in such operations.

It should now be clear that an accurate topographic map is essential if our artillery fire system is to function effectively. In view of the importance of topographic maps, the Army has given great attention to accuracy requirements in order to avoid asking for maps better than it really needs and at the same time to avoid accepting significant degradation in its combat potential through maps which cannot do the job. Translated into units useful for cartographers, the Army requirements for accuracy of large scale (1:50,000) maps are as follows:

Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000400010005-3

Mapping Accuracy and Artillery Effectiveness (Cont'd)

Horizontal target location accuracy: 25m (CEP) or $\frac{1}{2}$ 45m (90% assurance)

Vertical target location accuracy: ± 10m (90% assurance)

The degradation of weapons effectiveness which would result from relaxing these requirements has been analyzed for tube artillery and missile systems planned for combat use through 1975 and beyond. The following examples, for the 105mm and 155mm Howitzers, are illustrative of the results of these analyses and have been selected because these weapons are and will continue to be most frequently used for direct support of ground combat operations.

When 105mm Howitzers are used against enemy troops at ranges of a few kilometers, the number of rounds to produce a specified level of casualties increases by approximately 25% if the current requirement for \$\ddot 10-meter vertical target location accuracy is relaxed to † 40-meters. Ammunition for Army 105mm Howitzers in South Vietnam is presently issued at a rate of approximately 20 rounds per tube per day, resulting in a 105mm ammunition cost of approximately \$150 million per year. The 105mm Howitzer is normally employed for indirect, unobserved fire for up to 80% of its targets. In possible 1970-75 conflicts, up to half of this could be targetted from large scale tactical maps, with the balance being used for harrassing and interdiction fire. If maps of the required + 10-meter vertical accuracy are available for locating targets for unobserved 105mm Howitzer fire in such a future conflict of the present level of intensity, the increased effectiveness as compared with using maps having \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 40-meter vertical accuracy, can be valued at approximately \$15 million per year.

The 155mm Howitzer is planned as the primary artillery weapon for fire support at ranges of 10 to 20 kilometers through the mid-1970's. For 155mm Howitzer battery fire against enemy troops in company-sized cantonments at these ranges, calculations show that approximately 25% more rounds are required for the same target coverage if the required 25-meter horizontal target location accuracy is relaxed to 50-meters. For the current level of intensity in Southeast Asia, 155mm Howitzer ammunition is being expended at a rate of more than 30 rounds per tube per day, also representing a cost of approximately \$150 million per year. Thus, for this level of intensity and again assuming 80% unobserved fire, half of which is targetted from map data, the

Approved For-Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000400010005-3

SEGRET

Mapping Accuracy and Artillery Effectiveness (Cont'd)


degradation in 155mm Howitzer effectiveness can also be valued at approximately \$15 million per year if the requirement for horizontal target location accuracy is relaxed from 25-meters to 50-meters.

Over and above the dollar values cited, the advantage of surprise against enemy troops which can accrue from accurate, unobserved fire can be assigned a significant value in achieving the field commanders' objectives. Assuming that, on the average, half of the troops engaged by such fire will be caught in vulnerable postures (standing, as opposed to crouching in foxholes), the BRL data indicates a factor of 5 increased effectiveness for all such engagements. If a significant percentage of targets engaged are troops, say 50%, the overall effectiveness of a dollar spent on ammunition would at least be doubled. To achieve comparable levels of effect by increasing ammunition expenditures would cost 2 - 3 hundred million per year, for the example cited. The real savings are more difficult to quantify - since they lie in shortening the time required to 'win'. At Vietnamese expenditure rates, these savings are measured in billions of dollars per month. The cost of preparing the data bank from which adequately accurate maps can be prepared is less than I day's cost of the current war.

The importance of accurate military topographic maps is such that there is no doubt that an investment of \$30 - \$100 million to assure their prompt availability is amply justified. Attempts to fill the requirement with marginally adequate acquisition means would be to risk the necessity of doing the whole job over again.

Charles L. Poor

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D)



CONFIDENTIAL DOWNGRANDO GROUP II
Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000490010005I3 INTERVALS;

2

RELATIVE WEAPONS EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT)

105mm HOWITZER

Sand of Living I made

Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP79B01709A000400010005-3

Weapon System 105mm Howitzer, M10 1A1

Ml Projectile, TNT loaded

Charge 5, 6km range, 290 angle of fall

Lethality against personnel:

390 square meters 330 square meters Scanding:

Prone:

Crouching

in fox-holes: 40 square meters

Precision error:

28 meters (range).

4 meters (deflection)

Total predicted error:

39 meters (range)

(includes precision, met, etc) 17 meters (deflection)

Allowable target location

error, per TARS-75:

25m (CEP)

Number of Rounds to Inflict 30% Casualties

Number of Rounes to Inffice 50% Casualties									
Target R	adius (meters)		Vertice -1-0m	eal compor +10m	nent of Ta 20m	arget Loca +30m	ation Erro	or, 90% a <u>+</u> 50m	assurance
All Standing	50 100 200		55 70 152	56 71 153	59 75 156	66 81 161	73 89 168	82 97 176	•
All Prone	50 100 200	.*	64 82 179	66 84 180	70 88 183	77 96 189	86 104 197	96 114 206	*
½ Prone and ½ Crouching in fox-holes	50 100 200		129 166 358	132 168 360	140 176 366	154 191 378	172 208 393	192 229 412	
All Crouching in fox-holes	50 100 200	·	506 656 1448	516 665 1454	542 691 1467	595 739 1495	656 800 1529	728 872 1585	

Inclosure 1

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS

DECLASCIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS

DOD DIR 5000.10